

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

STATE OF TEXAS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:18-CV-68
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants,)	
)	
KARLA PEREZ, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendant-Intervenors,)	
and)	
)	
STATE OF NEW JERSEY,)	
)	
Defendant-Intervenor.)	

ORDER

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant-Intervenor State of New Jersey’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. After reviewing the briefing on the matter, the evidence offered in support of Defendant-Intervenor State of New Jersey’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment, and all other matters properly before the Court, the Court finds that there are no genuine issues of material fact and that Defendant-Intervenor State of New Jersey is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Accordingly, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant-Intervenor State of New Jersey’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED, and Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

SIGNED, on this the ____ day of _____, 2023.

Hon. Andrew S. Hanen
U.S. District Court Judge